



02-13-06

IFW

First Named Inventor	Neal Hall	<b>TRANSMITTAL FORM UNDER 37 CFR 1.10 (LARGE ENTITY)</b>
Serial No.	10/806,853	
Filing Date	March 23, 2004	
Group Art Unit	2485	
Examiner Name	Darren W. Gorman	
Confirmation Number	2485	
Attorney Docket No.	204.001US01	
Title: AUTOMATIC APPLIANCE FIRE EXTINGUISHER SYSTEM		

Mail Stop: AMENDMENT  
Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

<b>Enclosures</b>					
The following documents are enclosed:					
<u>X</u>	Supplemental Response to Restriction Requirement (2 pgs.);				
<u>X</u>	a return postcard.				
Please charge any additional fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 501373.					
<b><u>CUSTOMER NUMBER 27073</u></b>					
Leffert Jay & Polglaze, P.A. P. O. Box 581009 Minneapolis, MN 55458-1009					
<b>Submitted By</b>					
Name	Daniel J. Polglaze	Reg. No.	39,801	Telephone	(612) 312-2203
Signature				Date	February 10, 2006
<b>Certificate of Mailing</b>					
<b>"Express Mail" mailing label number: EV 633348086 US Date of Deposit: February 10, 2006</b> These papers and fees are being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR §1.10 on the date indicated above and addressed to Mail Stop: AMENDMENT, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.					
(LARGE ENTITY TRANSMITTAL UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.10)					



First Named Inventor	Neal Hall	SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT
Serial No.	10/806,853	
Filing Date	March 23, 2004	
Group Art Unit	2485	
Examiner Name	Darren W. Gorman	
Confirmation No.	2485	
Attorney Docket No.	204.001US01	

Title: AUTOMATIC APPLIANCE FIRE EXTINGUISHER SYSTEM

Mail Stop: AMENDMENT  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

In response to the communication concerning the application, that the reply filed December 1, 2005, was not fully responsive to the prior Office Action, Applicant submits this supplemental response. Applicant confirms the election of Group I, Claims 1-26, drawn to a fire extinguisher, classified in class 169, subclass 26, with traverse. The claims 27-30 all recite a fusible closure, and therefore the initial restriction requirement stating that the method of claims 27-30 can be performed by an apparatus that does not specifically include a fusible tip disposed at a distal end of a delivery tube is incorrect. Group II claims are properly examined with Group I.

In response to the species restriction of paragraph 4, Applicant elects Species I with traverse. Claims 1-26 and 27-30 read on Species I. Claim 1 is currently generic to each of the independent claims 11, 13, 16, 19, 22, and 26, since the elements of claim 1 are present in each of those other independent claims. Claim 27 is properly examinable within Species I and Group I as set forth above, and is generic to claim 28. As such, claims 1-30 are properly examined in Group I and in Species I. Further, Species II is properly examinable with Species I since it is simply an extension of the apparatus to multiple appliances.


SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

PAGE 2

Serial No. 10/806,853

Attorney Docket No. 204.001US01


Title: AUTOMATIC APPLIANCE FIRE EXTINGUISHER SYSTEM



The Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's Representative at (612) 312-2203 if there are any questions regarding this Response or if prosecution of this application may be assisted thereby.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 10 Feb. 2006

  
Daniel J. Polglaze  
Reg. No. 39,801

Attorneys for Applicant  
Leffert Jay & Polglaze  
P.O. Box 581009  
Minneapolis, MN 55458-1009  
T 612 312-2200  
F 612 312-2250